

**Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554**

In the Matter of)	
)	
Empowering Consumers to Avoid Bill Shock)	CG Docket No. 10-207
)	
Consumer Information and Disclosure)	CG Docket No. 09-158

**REQUEST FOR STAYING OF FILING WINDOW
BY SEAN R. MURPHY, consumer**

Regarding the Dec 10, 2010 posting of "Request for Extension of Time" filing by the CTIA, NTCA, RCA and RTG groups representing the telecommunications industry, requesting a two week extension for filing of comments and reply comments on the Bill Shock and Consumer Disclosure initiatives:

The above groups and the companies they represent are familiar with this topic and have had half a year to prepare comments on this topic, evidenced by their comments on Bill Shock filed in early July of this year.¹

Furthermore, the text of the statute proposed in the draft NPRM published by the FCC in 10-180 on Dockets 10-207 & 09-158 on Oct 14, 2010 and that published in the Federal Register November 26, 2010 is essentially the same. Thus by the current filing deadline, all parties will have had since Oct 14, 2010, or over two and a half months to prepare a response.

In consideration of the fact that this proposed legislation will serve to protect 292 million wireless phones², there is surely no reason to further delay protection.

Having experienced a \$9100 bill shock for a 45 minute video my kid watched on a cell phone at around \$200 per minute for data³, as well as nuisance bill surprises in past years, I see no reason to further delay protection of individual consumers from companies with comparatively vast legal resources.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: //s// Sean Murphy
Individual Wireless Consumer
325 Washington Avenue S, Suite 102
Kent, WA 98032

December 12, 2010

¹ CTIA, AT&T, Sprint, Verizon, and others have all posted comments on Bill Shock on or prior to July 9 2010 on docket 09-158.

² CTIA website "[Wireless Quick Facts](#)" indicates 292.8M wirelss subscriber connections as of June 2010

³ Comment posted 10/25/2010 by [Sean Murphy on Docket 09-158](#) that has a math error (\$9110/45min = ~ \$200/minute not \$20/min)